



# **DR ETD Commercialization Plans**

**Ron Hofmann**

**June 2, 2005**



## Purpose

- ★ Describe **commercialization plans** that we hope will engage the private sector in an R&D process that is developing **enabling technologies** that could help California deal with future energy crises
- ★ Start a commercialization dialog that will lead to new products and services that **support dynamic electricity pricing**



## DR ETD **Long-Term** RD&D

- ★ **60s ARPA/90s HP **applied RD&D** model**
- ★ **Long-term (3-8 year) focus**
- ★ **Multi-disciplinary, collaborative**
- ★ **Strategic not tactical**
- ★ **Leveraged mission-oriented funding**
- ★ **Looking for huge public interest payoffs**
- ★ **R&D activities will evolve with market**



# Background

- ★ **Not traditional technology transfer**
  - ◆ Transition partnership not baton hand-off
  - ◆ Prototypes not products are being developed
  - ◆ Possible reference designs
- ★ **Based on proven private sector models**
  - ◆ Partnership between R&D and product teams
  - ◆ Technology-driven but market focused
  - ◆ Adapted to public sector financing



## **Traditional Models**

- ★ **Write a report at end of R&D**
- ★ **Present R&D at meetings and workshops**
- ★ **It might get into newspapers or certain popular print magazines**
  - ◆ MIT Technology Review
  - ◆ Scientific American
- ★ **General approach is to passively make R&D information available**



# High-tech Private Sector Models

- ★ **Corp funds *strategic* long-term R&D**
  - ◆ Technology to stay ahead of competitors in existing markets (e.g., nanotechnology)
  - ◆ Novel uses of domain technology (e.g., ink jets for other organic fluid applications)
- ★ **Divisions fund *tactical* short-term D&D**
  - ◆ Productizing technologies, cost reduction, ..
  - ◆ Packaging for market acceptance



# DR ETD = Strategic R&D

## \* Corporate examples

- ◆ Not like AT&T 50 years ago (e.g., transistor)
- ◆ More like HP 10 years ago (e.g., ink-jet)

## \* Public funding examples

- ◆ Not like NSF basic research
- ◆ More like 60s ARPA applied RD&D
- ◆ Not like PIER tactical R&D



## Example of Corporate R&D

- ★ **Identify promising technologies related to Corp mission statement & strategic plan**
- ★ **Propose R&D projects, describe potential**
- ★ **Team includes marketing/sales influence**
  - ◆ Potential champions
  - ◆ Team members can change with time
  - ◆ Can use results at any time
- ★ **Periodic reviews**





# PIER DR ETD Challenge

- ★ **Find equivalent of marketing/sales people**
  - ◆ PIER doesn't have them
  - ◆ Industry does
- ★ **Maintain PIER (Public Interest Energy Research) focus**
- ★ **Hit a home run, i.e., make sure that the potential rewards match the risks**



## The Vision

- ★ **Technology Transfer won't be sufficient**
  - ◆ Writing a report is too passive
  - ◆ Demonstrations can't replace hands on
  - ◆ Workshops attendees don't always get it
- ★ **“Skin in the game” will work**
  - ◆ Must be an active participant
  - ◆ Must become part of the team
  - ◆ Must have a market reason to join



# A Useful Paradigm

## ★ Long-term R&D

- ◆ Generic goals set direction of activities (RONs)
- ◆ Results dictate steps along the path (flex SOW)

## ★ Meandering River

- ◆ Gravity sets direction of the river
- ◆ Topology dictates the specific route of the flow

## ★ Come along for the ride



# Meandering River





# Commercialization Plan

- ★ **Join the R&D journey at any time**
- ★ **Come and go as you please**
- ★ **Exploit what you see**
- ★ **If there is IP, license it (we can facilitate discussions with the owners of the IP)**



# Float Along with the Flow





## Private Sector Contributions

- ★ **Meet the researchers in their facilities**
- ★ **Get to know and understand what they are trying to accomplish**
- ★ **Interactions should influence both sides to better understand R&D potential**
- ★ **The result is a wider river with less meandering as R&D approaches its goals**



# Ideas Merge







# Don't Limit Creativity

- ★ The **researchers** are developing enabling technologies that they will **demonstrate** with working **prototypes** (not products)
- ★ The **private sector** must develop the ideas for **products** that they can sell
  - ◆ Champions to their companies
  - ◆ Connection to the market



# Pan for Nuggets





## Summary

- ★ **DR ETD is long-term focused**
- ★ **Traditional technology transfer is not the proper model for benefiting from R&D that is attempting to change paradigms**
- ★ **The private sector needs to be involved and immersed in the R&D to understand and influence the process**